

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,045	01/17/2002		Ebba A. Hansen	53394.000582	1178
56679	7590	06/22/2006		EXAMINER	
GOSZ AN		NERS, LLP	KIDWELL, N	KIDWELL, MICHELE M	
LEXINGTON, MA 02420				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3761	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/050,045	HANSEN, EBBA	HANSEN, EBBA A.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michele Kidwell	3761	,	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become a	ICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this abandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. wance except for formal ma		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-15 and 17-26</u> is 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,16 and 27-45</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	s/are withdrawn from conside	eration.		
Application Papers		·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	b by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nationa	ıl Stage	
·	·			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) .	4) Intention	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (F10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (P1	Г О-152)	

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 7, 16 and 27 – 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pieniak et al. (US 6,123,694) and further in view of Goldman et al. (US 5,562,646)

With reference to claim 1, Pieniak et al. (hereinafter "Pieniak") discloses an absorbent article having a longitudinal dimension and a lateral dimension comprising a topsheet (30) a backsheet (26), whereby the topsheet and the backsheet form a first waist region, a second waist region longitudinally opposite the first waist region, and a crotch region therebetween (figure 2) and an absorbent core (28) at least partially disposed between the topsheet and the backsheet as set forth in figure 3.

The difference between Pieniak and claim 1 is the provision that the absorbent core is a laminate core comprising at least four layers.

Goldman et al. (hereinafter "Goldman") discloses an absorbent laminate core (20) comprising at least four layers (32,36,40,44,48,52) whereby two of the layers are outer layers comprising an upper layer (36) and a lower layer (52), said upper and lower layers independently comprising a tissue or a tissue-like material (col. 33, lines 50 – 57) and one of the inner layers (44,48) disposed between the upper layer and the lower

Art Unit: 3761

layer is a central fibrous layer containing from about 30 to about 50% by weight super absorbent polymer (col. 34, lines 36 - 47) and continuous crimped filament tow fibers (col. 28, lines 35 - 54) whereby the absorbent laminate core comprises at least one additional inner layer disposed between the upper layer and the lower layer, the additional inner layer being selected from the group consisting of a fluid acquisition layer, a distribution layer, an additional fibrous layer, a wicking layer, a storage layer, and combinations and fragments thereof as set forth in col. 33, lines 50 - 61.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the core of Pieniak by providing the absorbent laminate core of Goldman because the absorbent laminate core of Goldman provides an absorbent member having good wet integrity as taught by Goldman in col. 1, lines 14 – 18.

As to claim 2, Pieniak discloses an absorbent article further comprising at least one fastening element attached to a lateral edge of the first waist region and one or more target devices attached to the article in the second waist region, where at least one fastening element and the one or more target devices are capable of attaching to one another, the one or more target devices being located so that the first waist region and the second waist region of the garment may be joined to one another to secure the garment on a wearer as set forth in figure 1.

With reference to claim 3, Pieniak discloses an absorbent article further comprising elastic leg gathers (32) comprising one or more elastic materials (38) disposed adjacent the lateral edge of the crotch region, and standing leg gathers

Art Unit: 3761

disposed on the topsheet adjacent the lateral edge of the crotch region as set forth in figure 3.

Regarding claim 4, Pieniak discloses an absorbent article wherein the at least one fastening element comprises a hook portion of a hook and loop fastener and the one or more target devices comprise the loop portion of a hook and loop fastener as set forth in col. 4, lines 26 – 33.

As to claim 5, Pieniak discloses an absorbent article wherein the at least one fastening element is an adhesive tape and the one or more target devices comprise a tape receiving surface as set forth in col. 4, lines 15 – 27.

With respect to claim 6, Pieniak discloses an absorbent article wherein the at least one fastening element is comprised of a pair of laterally extending tabs disposed on the lateral edges of the first waist region, whereby the laterally extending tabs each include at least one fastening element as set forth in col. 4, lines 15 - 33 and in figures 1 - 2.

Regarding claim 7, Goldman discloses an absorbent article wherein the absorbent laminate comprises just one additional inner layer disposed between the upper layer and the lower layer, and the additional inner layer is a fluid acquisition layer (40) as set forth in col. 34, lines 17 – 19.

Goldman discloses that it is important for the additional inner layer (40), which is positioned between the upper and lower layers, to allow acquired body fluids to pass rapidly therethrough, just as a fluid acquisition layer, in col. 34, lines 17 – 19.

As to claim 16, Goldman discloses an absorbent article wherein the absorbent laminate core comprises an upper layer (36), a lower layer (52), a central fibrous layer disposed between the upper layer and the lower layer (44,48) and an additional inner layer (40) disposed between the central fibrous layer and the upper layer, the additional inner layer being selected from a fluid acquisition layer, or a combination of a wicking and distribution layer as set forth in col. 34, lines 17 – 19.

With reference to claim 27, Goldman discloses an absorbent article wherein the central fibrous layer comprises from about 50% to about 95% by weight super absorbent polymers (SAP), and has a SAP efficiency of at least 80% as set forth in col. 34, lines 36 – 47.

Regarding claim 28, see Goldman, col. 34, lines 32 – 35 and col. 23, lines 14 – 32.

The difference between Pieniak in view of Goldman and claim 29 is the provision that the central fibrous layer comprises fibers selected from the listed group.

Absent a critical teaching and/or unexpected result, the examiner contends that the claimed limitation is an obvious matter of design choice that does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art.

Regarding claim 30, Goldman discloses an absorbent article wherein the central fibrous layer further comprises up to 10% by weight wood pulp fibers as set forth in col. 24, lines 31 – 40 and in col. 34, lines 36 – 45.

Art Unit: 3761

With respect to claim 31, Goldman discloses an absorbent article wherein the central fibrous layer further comprises particulate additives as set forth on page 9, in paragraph 0087.

With reference to claim 32, absent a critical teaching and/or unexpected result, the examiner contends that the claimed limitation is an obvious matter of design choice that does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art.

As to claim 33, Goldman discloses an absorbent article wherein the particulate additives are selected from the listed group as set forth in col. 24, lines 53 – 65.

With respect to claims 34 - 45, see the preceding rejection of Pieniak in view of Goldman since claims 34 - 45 recite a method that would necessarily flow from the article claims 1 - 7, 16 and 24 - 33 as previously rejected.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 20, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the applicant's argument that Pieniak fails to discloses a central fibrous core containing a mixture of SAP and continuous crimped tow fibers, the examiner contends that this was acknowledged in the rejection of claim 1 with the statement that Pieniak fails to disclose the claimed four layer absorbent laminate core that encompasses the SAP and tow fibers.

Regarding the applicant's argument that Goldman fails to teach a substantially continuous tow fiber, the examiner disagrees. By applicant's own admission, Goldman

Art Unit: 3761

discloses the use of continuous tow fibers in col. 28, lines 35 – 36. The applicant provides arguments with respect to the length of the fibers disclosed by Goldman relative to the size of the article. However, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a specific length of an individual fiber) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**.

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3761

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele Kidwell whose telephone number is 571-272-4935. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Michele Kidwell Primary Examiner

Liduell

Art Unit 3761